

Gordon & Rees, LLP
275 Battery Street, Suite 2000
San Francisco, CA 94111

AMY W. SCHULMAN
DLA PIPER LLP
1251 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10020
Telephone: (212) 335-4500
Facsimile: (212) 335-4501
amy.schulman@dlapiper.com

STUART M. GORDON (SBN: 037477)
GORDON & REES LLP
Embarcadero Center West
275 Battery Street, Suite 2000
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 986-5900
Facsimile: (415) 986-8054
sgordon@gordonrees.com

MICHAEL C. ZELLERS (SBN: 146904)
TUCKER ELLIS & WEST LLP
515 South Flower Street, Suite 4200
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2223
Telephone: (213) 430-3400
Facsimile: (213) 430-3409
michael.zellers@tuckerellis.com

Attorneys for Defendant
PFIZER INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE BEXTRA AND CELEBREX
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

This document relates to

RONALD N. PRICE, Individually and as Executor
of the Estate of DELOIS PRICE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

PFIZER INC.,

Defendant.

) MDL Docket No. 1699

) CASE NO. 3:08-cv-00859-CRB

) **PFIZER INC.'S ANSWER TO
COMPLAINT**

) **JURY DEMAND ENDORSED
HEREIN**

1 NOW COMES Defendant Pfizer Inc. ("Defendant"), and files this Answer to Plaintiff's
2 Complaint ("Complaint"), and would respectfully show the Court as follows:

3 **I.**

4 **PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

5 The Complaint does not state in sufficient detail when Decedent was prescribed or used
6 Celebrex® (celecoxib) ("Celebrex®"). Accordingly, this Answer can only be drafted generally.
7 Defendant may seek leave to amend this Answer when discovery reveals the specific time
8 periods in which Decedent was prescribed and used Celebrex®.

9 **II.**

10 **ANSWER**

11 **Response to Allegations Regarding Parties, Jurisdiction, and Venue**

12 1. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
13 of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding Decedent's citizenship and
14 whether Decedent used Celebrex®, and, therefore, denies the same. Defendant denies the
15 remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

16 2. Defendant states that this paragraph of the Complaint contains legal contentions to
17 which no response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed required, Defendant
18 admits that it is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in New York.
19 Defendant admits that it is registered to do and does business in the States of Maryland and
20 North Carolina. Defendant denies any wrongful conduct, denies having committed a tort in the
21 States of Maryland or North Carolina, and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of
22 the Complaint.

23 3. Plaintiff's Complaint omits paragraph number 3.

24 4. Defendant states that this paragraph of the Complaint contains legal contentions to
25 which no response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed required, Defendant is
26 without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in
27 this paragraph of the Complaint concerning Plaintiff's citizenship and the amount in
28 controversy, and, therefore, denies the same. However, Defendant admits that Plaintiff claims

Gordon & Rees, LLP
275 Battery Street, Suite 2000
San Francisco, CA 94111

1 that the parties are diverse and that the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of
2 interests and costs. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the
3 Complaint.

4 5. Defendant states that this paragraph of the Complaint contains legal contentions to
5 which no response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed required, Defendant is
6 without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in
7 this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Decedent used Celebrex®, and, therefore,
8 denies the same. Defendant admits that, during certain periods of time, it marketed and co-
9 promoted Celebrex® in the United States, including Maryland and North Carolina, to be
10 prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance
11 with their approval by the FDA. Defendant admits that it provided FDA-approved prescribing
12 information regarding Celebrex®. Defendant admits that it does business in the States of
13 Maryland and North Carolina. Defendant denies any wrongful conduct and denies the
14 remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

15 **Response to Factual Allegations**

16 6. Defendant admits that, during certain periods of time, it marketed and co-promoted
17 Celebrex® in the United States, including Maryland and North Carolina, to be prescribed by
18 healthcare providers who are by law authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their
19 approval by the FDA. Defendant admits that it provided FDA-approved prescribing
20 information regarding Celebrex®. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph
21 of the Complaint.

22 7. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
23 of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Decedent used
24 Celebrex®, and, therefore, denies the same. Defendant states that Celebrex® was and is safe
25 and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information.
26 Defendant denies that Celebrex® caused Plaintiff or Decedent injury or damage and denies the
27 remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

28 8. Defendant states that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance

Gordon & Rees, LLP
275 Battery Street, Suite 2000
San Francisco, CA 94111

1 with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendant states that the potential effects of
2 Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information,
3 which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law.
4 Defendant denies any wrongful conduct and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph
5 of the Complaint.

6 9. Defendant admits that, during certain periods of time, it marketed and co-promoted
7 Celebrex® in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law
8 authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendant admits
9 that it provided FDA-approved prescribing information regarding Celebrex®. Defendant
10 denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

11 10. Defendant admits that Celebrex® is in a class of drugs that is, at times, referred to as
12 non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (“NSAIDS”). Defendant states that Celebrex® is a
13 prescription medication which is approved by the FDA for the following indications: (1) for
14 relief of the signs and symptoms of osteoarthritis; (2) for relief of the signs and symptoms of
15 rheumatoid arthritis in adults; (3) for the management of acute pain in adults; (4) for the
16 treatment of primary dysmenorrhea; (5) to reduce the number of adenomatous colorectal polyps
17 in familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) as an adjunct to usual care (e.g., endoscopic
18 surveillance surgery); (6) for relief of signs and symptoms of ankylosing spondylitis; and (7) for
19 relief of the signs and symptoms of juvenile rheumatoid arthritis in patients two years of age
20 and older. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

21 11. Defendant states that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance
22 with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendant denies any wrongful conduct and
23 denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

24 12. Defendant states that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance
25 with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendant states that the potential effects of
26 Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information,
27 which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law.
28 Defendant denies any wrongful conduct and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph

1 of the Complaint.

2 13. Defendant states that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance
3 with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendant states that the potential effects of
4 Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information,
5 which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law.
6 Defendant admits that it provided FDA-approved prescribing information regarding Celebrex®.
7 Defendant denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

8 14. Defendant states that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance
9 with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendant states that the potential effects of
10 Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information,
11 which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law.
12 Defendant denies any wrongful conduct and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph
13 of the Complaint.

14 15. Defendant denies any wrongful conduct, denies that Celebrex® caused Plaintiff or
15 Decedent injury or damage, and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the
16 Complaint.

17 **Response to First Cause of Action: Negligence**

18 16. Defendant incorporates by reference its responses to each paragraph of Plaintiff's
19 Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

20 17. Defendant admits that, during certain periods of time, it marketed and co-promoted
21 Celebrex® in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law
22 authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendant denies
23 the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

24 18. Defendant states that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance
25 with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendant states that the potential effects of
26 Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information,
27 which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law.
28 Defendant denies any wrongful conduct, denies that Celebrex® is unreasonably dangerous, and

Gordon & Rees, LLP
275 Battery Street, Suite 2000
San Francisco, CA 94111

denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

19. Defendant states that this paragraph of the Complaint contains legal contentions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed required, Defendant admits that it had duties as are imposed by law but denies having breached such duties. Defendant states that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendant states that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendant denies any wrongful conduct, denies that Celebrex® caused Plaintiff or Decedent injury or damage, and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

20. Defendant states that this paragraph of the Complaint contains legal contentions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed required, Defendant admits that it had duties as are imposed by law but denies having breached such duties. Defendant states that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendant states that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendant denies any wrongful conduct, denies that Celebrex® caused Plaintiff or Decedent injury or damage, and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

Answering the unnumbered paragraph following Paragraph 20 of the Complaint, Defendant denies any wrongful conduct, denies that Celebrex® caused Plaintiff or Decedent injury or damage, and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

Response to Second Cause of Action: Strict Products Liability

21. Defendant incorporates by reference its responses to each paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

22. Defendant states that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendant states that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information,

Gordon & Rees, LLP
275 Battery Street, Suite 2000
San Francisco, CA 94111

1 which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law.
2 Defendant denies any wrongful conduct, denies that Celebrex® is defective or unreasonably
3 dangerous, and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

4 23. Defendant states that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance
5 with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendant states that the potential effects of
6 Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information,
7 which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law.
8 Defendant denies any wrongful conduct, denies that Celebrex® is defective, and denies the
9 remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

10 24. Defendant states that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance
11 with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendant states that the potential effects of
12 Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information,
13 which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law.
14 Defendant denies any wrongful conduct, denies that Celebrex® is defective, and denies the
15 remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

16 25. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
17 of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Decedent used
18 Celebrex®, and, therefore, denies the same. Defendant states that Celebrex® was and is safe
19 and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information.
20 Defendant states that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its
21 FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with
22 applicable standards of care and law. Defendant denies any wrongful conduct, denies that
23 Celebrex® is defective, and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the
24 Complaint.

25 26. Defendant states that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance
26 with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendant states that the potential effects of
27 Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information,
28 which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law.

Gordon & Rees, LLP
275 Battery Street, Suite 2000
San Francisco, CA 94111

1 Defendant denies any wrongful conduct, denies that Celebrex® is defective, and denies the
2 remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

3 27. Defendant states that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance
4 with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendant states that the potential effects of
5 Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information,
6 which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law.
7 Defendant denies any wrongful conduct, denies that Celebrex® is defective, and denies the
8 remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

9 28. Defendant states that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance
10 with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendant states that the potential effects of
11 Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information,
12 which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law.
13 Defendant denies any wrongful conduct, denies that Celebrex® is defective, denies that
14 Celebrex® caused Plaintiff or Decedent injury or damage, and denies the remaining allegations
15 in this paragraph of the Complaint.

16 Answering the unnumbered paragraph following Paragraph 28 of the Complaint,
17 Defendant denies any wrongful conduct, denies that Celebrex® caused Plaintiff or Decedent
18 injury or damage, and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

19 **Response to Third Cause of Action: Fraud**

20 29. Defendant incorporates by reference its responses to each paragraph of Plaintiff's
21 Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

22 30. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
23 of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Decedent used
24 Celebrex®, and, therefore, denies the same. Defendant states that Celebrex® was and is safe
25 and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information.
26 Defendant states that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its
27 FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with
28 applicable standards of care and law. Defendant denies any wrongful conduct, denies that

1 Celebrex® caused Plaintiff or Decedent injury or damage, and denies the remaining allegations
2 in this paragraph of the Complaint.

3 Answering the unnumbered paragraph following Paragraph 30 of the Complaint,
4 Defendant denies any wrongful conduct, denies that Celebrex® caused Plaintiff or Decedent
5 injury or damage, and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

6 **Response to Fourth Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation**

7 31. Defendant incorporates by reference its responses to each paragraph of Plaintiff's
8 Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

9 32. Defendant admits that, during certain periods of time, it marketed and co-promoted
10 Celebrex® in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law
11 authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendant states
12 that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved
13 prescribing information. Defendant states that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are
14 adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times
15 adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendant denies any
16 wrongful conduct and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

17 33. Defendant incorporates by reference its responses to each paragraph of Plaintiff's
18 Complaint as if fully set forth herein. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient
19 to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding
20 whether Decedent used Celebrex®, and, therefore, denies the same. Defendant states that
21 Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved
22 prescribing information. Defendant states that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are
23 adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times
24 adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendant denies any
25 wrongful conduct, denies that Celebrex® is defective, denies that Celebrex® caused Plaintiff or
26 Decedent injury or damage, and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the
27 Complaint, including all subparts.

28 34. Defendant denies any wrongful conduct, denies that Celebrex® caused Plaintiff or

1 Decedent injury or damage, and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the
2 Complaint.

3 Answering the unnumbered paragraph following Paragraph 34 of the Complaint,
4 Defendant denies any wrongful conduct, denies that Celebrex® caused Plaintiff or Decedent
5 injury or damage, and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

6 **Response to Fifth Cause of Action: Express Warranty for Goods**

7 35. Defendant incorporates by reference its responses to each paragraph of Plaintiff's
8 Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

9 36. Defendant states that this paragraph of the Complaint contains legal contentions to
10 which no response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed required, Defendant
11 admits that it had duties as are imposed by law but denies having breached such duties.
12 Defendant admits that, during certain periods of time, it marketed and co-promoted Celebrex®
13 in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law authorized to
14 prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendant states that Celebrex®
15 was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing
16 information. Defendant states that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately
17 described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and
18 comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendant denies any wrongful conduct,
19 denies that Celebrex® caused Plaintiff or Decedent injury or damage, and denies the remaining
20 allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

21 Answering the unnumbered paragraph following Paragraph 36 of the Complaint,
22 Defendant denies any wrongful conduct, denies that Celebrex® caused Plaintiff or Decedent
23 injury or damage, and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

24 **Response to Sixth Cause of Action: Implied Warranty**

25 **A. Warranty of Merchantability**

26 37. Defendant incorporates by reference its responses to each paragraph of Plaintiff's
27 Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

28 38. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

Gordon & Rees, LLP
275 Battery Street, Suite 2000
San Francisco, CA 94111

of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Decedent used Celebrex®, and, therefore, denies the same. Defendant admits that, during certain periods of time, it marketed and co-promoted Celebrex® in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendant states that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendant states that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendant denies any wrongful conduct, denies that Celebrex® is defective, and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

39. Defendant states that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendant states that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendant denies any wrongful conduct, denies that Celebrex® is defective, denies that Celebrex® caused Plaintiff or Decedent injury or damage, and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

B. Warranty of Fitness

40. Defendant incorporates by reference its responses to each paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

41. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Decedent used Celebrex®, and, therefore, denies the same. Defendant admits that, during certain periods of time, it marketed and co-promoted Celebrex® in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendant states that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendant states that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved

1 prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable
2 standards of care and law. Defendant denies any wrongful conduct and denies the remaining
3 allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

4 42. Defendant states that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance
5 with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendant states that the potential effects of
6 Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information,
7 which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law.
8 Defendant denies any wrongful conduct, denies that Celebrex® caused Plaintiff or Decedent
9 injury or damage, and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

10 Answering the unnumbered paragraph following Paragraph 42 of the Complaint,
11 Defendant denies any wrongful conduct, denies that Celebrex® caused Plaintiff or Decedent
12 injury or damage, and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

13 **Response to Seventh Cause of Action: Unjust Enrichment**

14 43. Defendant incorporates by reference its responses to each paragraph of Plaintiff's
15 Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

16 44. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
17 of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint, and, therefore, denies the same.
18 Defendant denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

19 45. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
20 of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Decedent used
21 Celebrex®, and, therefore, denies the same. Defendant states that Celebrex® was and is safe
22 and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information.
23 Defendant denies any wrongful conduct and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph
24 of the Complaint.

25 46. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
26 of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Decedent used
27 Celebrex®, and, therefore, denies the same. Defendant states that Celebrex® was and is safe
28 and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information.

1 Defendant denies any wrongful conduct and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph
2 of the Complaint.

3 Answering the unnumbered paragraph following Paragraph 46 of the Complaint,
4 Defendant denies any wrongful conduct, denies that Celebrex® caused Plaintiff or Decedent
5 injury or damage, and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

6 **Response to Eighth Cause of Action: Wrongful Death**

7 Answering the unnumbered paragraph of the Complaint headed “Count VIII: Wrongful
8 Death,” Defendant states that this paragraph of the Complaint contains legal contentions to
9 which no response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed required, Defendant
10 incorporates by reference its responses to each paragraph of Plaintiff’s Complaint as if fully set
11 forth herein. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
12 the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding Plaintiff’s and
13 Decedent’s marital status, and, therefore, denies the same. Defendant denies any wrongful
14 conduct, denies that Celebrex® caused Plaintiff or Decedent injury or damage, and denies the
15 remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

16 Answering the unnumbered paragraph following the unnumbered paragraph of the
17 Complaint headed “Count VIII: Wrongful Death,” Defendant denies any wrongful conduct,
18 denies that Celebrex® caused Plaintiff or Decedent injury or damage, and denies the remaining
19 allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

20 **III.**

21 **GENERAL DENIAL**

22 Defendant denies all allegations and/or legal conclusions set forth in Plaintiff’s
23 Complaint that have not been previously admitted, denied, or explained.

24 **IV.**

25 **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

26 Defendant reserves the right to rely upon any of the following or additional defenses to
27 claims asserted by Plaintiff to the extent that such defenses are supported by information
28 developed through discovery or evidence at trial. Defendant affirmatively shows that:

Gordon & Rees, LLP
275 Battery Street, Suite 2000
San Francisco, CA 94111

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

First Defense

1. The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

Second Defense

2. Celebrex® is a prescription medical product. The federal government has preempted the field of law applicable to the labeling and warning of prescription medical products. Defendant's labeling and warning of Celebrex® was at all times in compliance with applicable federal law. Plaintiff's causes of action against Defendant, therefore, fail to state a claim upon which relief can be granted; such claims, if allowed, would conflict with applicable federal law and violate the Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution.

Third Defense

3. At all relevant times, Defendant provided proper warnings, information and instructions for the drug in accordance with generally recognized and prevailing standards in existence at the time.

Fourth Defense

4. At all relevant times, Defendant's warnings and instructions with respect to the use of Celebrex® conformed to the generally recognized, reasonably available, and reliable state of knowledge at the time the drug was manufactured, marketed and distributed.

Fifth Defense

5. Plaintiff's action is time-barred as it is filed outside of the time permitted by the applicable Statute of Limitations, and same is pled in full bar of any liability as to Defendant.

Sixth Defense

6. Plaintiff's action is barred by the statute of repose.

Seventh Defense

7. Plaintiff's claims against Defendant are barred to the extent Plaintiff or Decedent were contributorily negligent, actively negligent or otherwise failed to mitigate Plaintiff's damages, and any recovery by Plaintiff should be diminished accordingly.

Eighth Defense

8. The proximate cause of the loss complained of by Plaintiff is not due to any acts or

omissions on the part of Defendant. Rather, said loss is due to the acts or omissions on the part of third parties unrelated to Defendant and for whose acts or omissions Defendant is not liable in any way.

Ninth Defense

9. The acts and/or omissions of unrelated third parties as alleged constituted independent, intervening causes for which Defendant cannot be liable.

Tenth Defense

10. Any injuries or expenses incurred by Plaintiff or Decedent were not caused by Celebrex®, but were proximately caused, in whole or in part, by an idiosyncratic reaction, operation of nature, or act of God.

Eleventh Defense

11. Defendant affirmatively denies that it violated any duty owed to Plaintiff or Decedent.

Twelfth Defense

12. A manufacturer has no duty to warn patients or the general public of any risk, contraindication, or adverse effect associated with the use of a prescription medical product. Rather, the law requires that all such warnings and appropriate information be given to the prescribing physician and the medical profession, which act as a “learned intermediary” in determining the use of the product. Celebrex® is a prescription medical product, available only on the order of a licensed physician. Celebrex® provided an adequate warning to Decedent’s treating and prescribing physicians.

Thirteenth Defense

13. The product at issue was not in a defective condition or unreasonably dangerous at the time it left the control of the manufacturer or seller.

Fourteenth Defense

14. Celebrex® was at all times material to the Complaint reasonably safe and reasonably fit for its intended use and the warnings and instructions accompanying Celebrex® at the time of the occurrence of the injuries alleged by Plaintiff was legally adequate for its approved usages.

Fifteenth Defense

15. Plaintiff's causes of action are barred in whole or in part by the lack of a defect as the Celebrex® allegedly ingested by Decedent was prepared in accordance with the applicable standard of care.

Sixteenth Defense

16. Plaintiff's and Decedent's alleged injuries/damages, if any, were the result of misuse or abnormal use of the product Celebrex® after the product left the control of Defendant and any liability of Defendant is therefore barred.

Seventeenth Defense

17. Plaintiff's alleged damages were not caused by any failure to warn on the part of Defendant.

Eighteenth Defense

18. Plaintiff's and Decedent's alleged injuries/damages, if any, were the result of preexisting or subsequent conditions unrelated to Celebrex®.

Nineteenth Defense

19. Decedent knew or should have known of any risk associated with Celebrex®; therefore, the doctrine of assumption of the risk bars or diminishes any recovery.

Twentieth Defense

20. Plaintiff is barred from recovering against Defendant because Plaintiff's claims are preempted in accordance with the Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution and by the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetics Act, 21 U.S.C. § 301 et. seq.

Twenty-first Defense

21. Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part under the applicable state law because the subject pharmaceutical product at issue was subject to and received pre-market approval by the Food and Drug Administration under 52 Stat. 1040, 21 U.S.C. § 301.

Twenty-second Defense

22. The manufacture, distribution and sale of the pharmaceutical product referred to in Plaintiff's Complaint were at all times in compliance with all federal regulations and statutes,

1 and Plaintiff's causes of action are preempted.

2 **Twenty-third Defense**

3 23. Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part by the deference given to the primary
4 jurisdiction of the Food and Drug Administration over the subject pharmaceutical product at
5 issue under applicable federal laws, regulations, and rules.

6 **Twenty-fourth Defense**

7 24. Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part because there is no private right of action
8 concerning matters regulated by the Food and Drug Administration under applicable federal
9 laws, regulations, and rules.

10 **Twenty-fifth Defense**

11 25. Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part because Defendant provided adequate
12 "direction or warnings" as to the use of the subject pharmaceutical product within the meaning of
13 Comment j to § 402A of the Restatement (Second) of Torts.

14 **Twenty-sixth Defense**

15 26. Plaintiff's claims are barred or limited to a product liability failure to warn claim because
16 Celebrex® is a prescription pharmaceutical drug and falls within the ambit of Restatement
17 (Second) of Torts § 402A, Comment k.

18 **Twenty-seventh Defense**

19 27. Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part because the subject pharmaceutical
20 product at issue "provides net benefits for a class of patients" within the meaning of Comment f
21 to § 6 of the Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability.

22 **Twenty-eighth Defense**

23 28. Plaintiff's claims are barred under § 4, et seq., of the Restatement (Third) of Torts:
24 Products Liability.

25 **Twenty-ninth Defense**

26 29. To the extent that Plaintiff is seeking punitive damages, Plaintiff has failed to plead facts
27 sufficient under the law to justify an award of punitive damages.
28

Gordon & Rees, LLP
275 Battery Street, Suite 2000
San Francisco, CA 94111

Thirtieth Defense

30. Defendant affirmatively avers that the imposition of punitive damages in this case would violate Defendant's rights to procedural due process under both the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution and the Constitutions of the States of Maryland and California, and would additionally violate Defendant's rights to substantive due process under the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

Thirty-first Defense

31. Plaintiff's claims for punitive damages are barred, in whole or in part, by law of the State of Maryland and by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

Thirty-second Defense

32. The imposition of punitive damages in this case would violate the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

Thirty-third Defense

33. Plaintiff's punitive damage claims are preempted by federal law.

Thirty-fourth Defense

34. In the event that reliance was placed upon Defendant's nonconformance to an express representation, this action is barred as there was no reliance upon representations, if any, of Defendant.

Thirty-fifth Defense

35. Plaintiff and Decedent failed to provide Defendant with timely notice of any alleged nonconformance to any express representation.

Thirty-sixth Defense

36. To the extent that Plaintiff's claims are based on a theory providing for liability without proof of causation, the claims violate Defendant's rights under the United States Constitution.

Thirty-seventh Defense

37. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because the advertisements, if any, and labeling with respect to the subject pharmaceutical products were not false or misleading and, therefore, constitute protected commercial speech under the applicable provisions of the United

1 States Constitution.

2 **Thirty-eighth Defense**

3 38. To the extent that Plaintiff seeks punitive damages for the conduct which allegedly
 4 caused injuries asserted in the Complaint, punitive damages are barred or reduced by applicable
 5 law or statute or, in the alternative, are unconstitutional insofar as they violate the due process
 6 protections afforded by the United States Constitution, the excessive fines clause of the Eighth
 7 Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Commerce Clause of the United States
 8 Constitution, the Full Faith and Credit Clause of the United States Constitution, and applicable
 9 provisions of the Constitutions of the States of Maryland and California. Any law, statute, or
 10 other authority purporting to permit the recovery of punitive damages in this case is
 11 unconstitutional, facially and as applied, to the extent that, without limitation, it: (1) lacks
 12 constitutionally sufficient standards to guide and restrain the jury's discretion in determining
 13 whether to award punitive damages and/or the amount, if any; (2) is void for vagueness in that it
 14 failed to provide adequate advance notice as to what conduct will result in punitive damages; (3)
 15 permits recovery of punitive damages based on out-of-state conduct, conduct that complied with
 16 applicable law, or conduct that was not directed, or did not proximately cause harm, to Plaintiff
 17 or Decedent; (4) permits recovery of punitive damages in an amount that is not both reasonable
 18 and proportionate to the amount of harm, if any, to Plaintiff and Decedent and to the amount of
 19 compensatory damages, if any; (5) permits jury consideration of net worth or other financial
 20 information relating to Defendant; (6) lacks constitutionally sufficient standards to be applied by
 21 the trial court in post-verdict review of any punitive damages awards; (7) lacks constitutionally
 22 sufficient standards for appellate review of punitive damages awards; and (8) otherwise fails to
 23 satisfy Supreme Court precedent, including, without limitation, *Pacific Mutual Life Ins. Co. v.*
 24 *Haslip*, 499 U.S. 1 (1991), *TXO Production Corp. v. Alliance Resources, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 443
 25 (1993); *BMW of North America, Inc. v. Gore*, 519 U.S. 559 (1996); and *State Farm Mut. Auto*
 26 *Ins. Co. v. Campbell*, 538 U.S. 408 (2003).

27 **Thirty-ninth Defense**

28 39. The methods, standards, and techniques utilized with respect to the manufacture, design,

1 and marketing of Celebrex®, if any, used in this case, included adequate warnings and
2 instructions with respect to the product's use in the package insert and other literature, and
3 conformed to the generally recognized, reasonably available, and reliable state of the knowledge
4 at the time the product was marketed.

5 **Fortieth Defense**

6 40. The claims asserted in the Complaint are barred because Celebrex® was designed, tested,
7 manufactured, and labeled in accordance with the state-of-the-art industry standards existing at
8 the time of the sale.

9 **Forty-first Defense**

10 41. If Plaintiff or Decedent have sustained injuries or losses as alleged in the Complaint,
11 upon information and belief, such injuries and losses were caused by the actions of persons not
12 having real or apparent authority to take said actions on behalf of Defendant and over whom
13 Defendant had no control and for whom Defendant may not be held accountable.

14 **Forty-second Defense**

15 42. The claims asserted in the Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, because Celebrex®
16 was not unreasonably dangerous or defective, was suitable for the purpose for which it was
17 intended, and was distributed with adequate and sufficient warnings.

18 **Forty-third Defense**

19 43. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the equitable doctrines of laches,
20 waiver, and/or estoppel.

21 **Forty-fourth Defense**

22 44. Plaintiff's claims are barred because Decedent's injuries, if any, were the result of the
23 pre-existing and/or unrelated medical, genetic and/or environmental conditions, diseases or
24 illnesses, subsequent medical conditions, or natural courses of conditions of Decedent, and were
25 independent of or far removed from Defendant's conduct.

26 **Forty-fifth Defense**

27 45. The claims asserted in the Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, because Celebrex®
28 did not proximately cause injuries or damages to Plaintiff or Decedent.

Forty-sixth Defense

46. The claims asserted in the Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, because Plaintiff and Decedent did not incur any ascertainable loss as a result of Defendant's conduct.

Forty-seventh Defense

47. The claims asserted in the Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, because the manufacturing, labeling, packaging, and any advertising of the product complied with the applicable codes, standards, and regulations established, adopted, promulgated or approved by any applicable regulatory body, including but not limited to the United States, any state, and any agency thereof.

Forty-eighth Defense

48. The claims must be dismissed because Decedent would have taken Celebrex® even if the product labeling contained the information that Plaintiff contends should have been provided.

Forty-ninth Defense

49. The claims asserted in the Complaint are barred because the utility of Celebrex® outweighed its risks.

Fiftieth Defense

50. Plaintiff's damages, if any, are barred or limited by the payments received from collateral sources.

Fifty-first Defense

51. Defendant's liability, if any, can only be determined after the percentages of responsibility of all persons who caused or contributed toward Plaintiff's alleged damages, if any, are determined. Defendant seeks an adjudication of the percentage of fault of Plaintiff, Decedent, and each and every other person whose fault could have contributed to the alleged injuries and damages, if any, of Plaintiff or Decedent.

Fifty-second Defense

52. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of abstention in that the common law gives deference to discretionary actions by the United States Food and Drug Administration under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act.

Fifty-third Defense

53. The claims asserted in the Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, because Celebrex® is comprehensively regulated by the FDA pursuant to the Federal Food, Drug & Cosmetic Act (“FDCA”), 21 U.S.C. §§ 301 et seq., and regulations promulgated thereunder, and Plaintiff’s claims conflict with the FDCA, with the regulations promulgated by FDA to implement the FDCA, with the purposes and objectives of the FDCA and FDA’s implementing regulations, and with the specific determinations by FDA specifying the language that should be used in the labeling accompanying Celebrex®. Accordingly, Plaintiff’s claims are preempted by the Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution, Art. VI, cl. 2, and the laws of the United States.

Fifty-fourth Defense

54. Plaintiff’s misrepresentation allegations are not stated with the degree of particularity required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 9(b) and should be dismissed.

Fifty-fifth Defense

55. Defendant states on information and belief that the Complaint and each purported cause of action contained therein is barred by the statutes of limitations contained in California Code of Civil Procedure §§ 335.1 and 338 and former § 340(3), such other statutes of limitation as may apply.

Fifty-sixth Defense

56. Defendant states on information and belief that any injuries, losses, or damages suffered by Plaintiff or Decedent were proximately caused, in whole or in part, by the negligence or other actionable conduct of persons or entities other than Defendant. Therefore, Plaintiff’s recovery against Defendant, if any, should be reduced pursuant to California Civil Code § 1431.2.

Fifty-seventh Defense

57. To the extent that Plaintiff seeks punitive damages for an alleged act or omission of Defendant, no act or omission was oppressive, fraudulent, or malicious under California Civil Code § 3294, and, therefore, any award of punitive damages is barred. Any claim for punitive

1 damages is also barred under California Civil Code § 3294(b).

2 **Fifty-eighth Defense**

3 58. Defendant reserves the right to supplement its assertion of defenses as it continues with
4 its factual investigation of Plaintiff's claims.

5 **V.**

6 **JURY DEMAND**

7 Defendant hereby demands a trial by jury of all the facts and issue in this case pursuant to
8 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b).

9 **VI.**

10 **PRAYER**

11 WHEREFORE, Defendant prays for judgment as follows:

- 12 1. That Plaintiff takes nothing from Defendant by reason of the Complaint;
13 2. That the Complaint be dismissed;
14 3. That Defendant be awarded its costs for this lawsuit;
15 4. That the trier of fact determine what percentage of the combined fault or other liability of
16 all persons whose fault or other liability proximately caused Plaintiff's and Decedent's
17 alleged injuries, losses or damages is attributable to each person;
18 5. That any judgment for damages against Defendant in favor of Plaintiff be no greater than
19 an amount which equals Plaintiff's proportionate share, if any, of the total fault or other
20 liability which proximately caused Plaintiff's and Decedent's injuries and damages; and
21 6. That Defendant has such other and further relief as the Court deems appropriate.

March 7, 2008

GORDON & REES LLP

By: : _____/s/_____

Stuart M. Gordon
sgordon@gordonrees.com
Embarcadero Center West
275 Battery Street, 20th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 986-5900
Fax: (415) 986-8054

March 7, 2008

TUCKER ELLIS & WEST LLP

By: : _____/s/_____

Michael C. Zellers
michael.zellers@tuckerellis.com
515 South Flower Street, Suite 4200
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2223
Telephone: (213) 430-3400
Fax: (213) 430-3409

Attorneys for Defendant
PFIZER INC.

Gordon & Rees, LLP
275 Battery Street, Suite 2000
San Francisco, CA 94111

JURY DEMAND

Defendant Pfizer Inc. hereby demands a trial by jury of all the facts and issues in this case pursuant to 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

March 7, 2008

GORDON & REES LLP

By: : _____/s/_____

Stuart M. Gordon
sgordon@gordonrees.com
Embarcadero Center West
275 Battery Street, 20th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 986-5900
Fax: (415) 986-8054

March 7, 2008

TUCKER ELLIS & WEST LLP

By: : _____/s/_____

Michael C. Zellers
michael.zellers@tuckerellis.com
515 South Flower Street, Suite 4200
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2223
Telephone: (213) 430-3400
Fax: (213) 430-3409

Attorneys for Defendant
PFIZER INC.

Gordon & Rees, LLP
275 Battery Street, Suite 2000
San Francisco, CA 94111